

Action Items



Regular Board Meeting February 23, 2023

Sunland Park Elementary

1. GISD Policy BEDH PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AT BOARD MEETINGS & BEDH-E

- Current Policy BEDH
- Current Exhibit BEDH-E
- Based on Presentation from Special Board Meeting Feb. 03, 2023
- Five Options regarding Public Comment
- Requested modifications

Option A: Eliminate Public Comment

- **CONSTITUTIONAL:** Yes. The Open Meetings Act gives the public the right to observe a Board meeting; however, there is no requirement to allow public comment(s)
- **PRO:** Would prevent any hurtful or critical remarks
- **CON:** Public may perceive the Board does not value public input including criticism; this could create barriers for stakeholders with concerns and create obstacles between board members and community members
- **POLICY CHANGE:** Yes. Major policy change

Option B: Permit Use of Staff and Student Names

- CONSTITUTIONAL: Yes.
- PRO: Would allow positive recognition of students and staff by name
- CON: Would allow criticism of students and staff by name; staff could feel a lack of support
- PRACTICAL: Easy to manage; Board President/Chair can still prohibit threats and stop any disruptive conduct
- POLICY CHANGE: Would NOT require adjustments to the current policy and exhibit; you can continue to “discourage personal attacks” (constitutional) as long as you do not “prohibit personal attacks” (not constitutional)

Option C: Prohibit Use of Staff and Student Names

- **CONSTITUTIONAL:** Yes. Viewpoint Neutral; Reasonable in light of Board meeting purpose
- **LIMITATION:** Would have to include an exception for the Superintendent
- **PRO:** Would protect staff and student privacy
- **CON:** Would have to prohibit positive recognition of staff or student by name
- **PRACTICAL:** Difficult for Board President/Chair to monitor and STOP before it happens (example: after criticizing or praising a teacher, the speaker quickly states the name); Also, there are too many ways to get around it (example: speaker describes the teacher by school/position, and there is only one teacher at the school who holds the position)
- **POLICY CHANGE:** Would require adjustments to the current policy and exhibit; you can continue to “discourage personal attacks” (constitutional) as long as you do not “prohibit personal attacks” (not constitutional)

Option D: Prohibit Use of Student Names Except when Parent of the Student is the Speaker

- CONSTITUTIONAL: Yes. Viewpoint Neutral; Reasonable in light of Board meeting purpose
- PRO: Would protect student privacy
- CON: Would have to prohibit positive recognition of a student by name
- PRACTICAL: Difficult for Board President/Chair to monitor and STOP before it happens (example: after criticizing or praising a student, the speaker quickly states the name of the student)
- POLICY CHANGE: Would require adjustments to the current policy and exhibit; you can continue to “discourage personal attacks” (constitutional) as long as you do not “prohibit personal attacks” (not constitutional)

Option E: Limit Public Comment to Items on the Agenda

- **CONSTITUTIONAL:** Yes. Viewpoint Neutral; Reasonable in light of Board meeting purpose
- **PRO:** Would allow the public to fully weigh in on any item on the agenda
- **PRACTICAL:** Hard to manage especially if any item on the agenda is broadly stated (e.g., Finance Report, Superintendent Report, HR Report)
- **POLICY CHANGE:** Would require adjustments to the current policy and exhibit; you can continue to “discourage personal attacks” (constitutional) as long as you do not “prohibit personal attacks” (not constitutional)

Summary: The board has to determine how to manage open forum which presents some potential complications. I believe the ultimate goal is to ensure the board provide the necessary transparency while maintaining a professional environment. As the Superintendent I recommend options B or E which are both constitutional and allow for stakeholder input.

Questions, concerns, or ideas

GAC Re-Roof Project

DISTRICT	Gadsden Independent School District	
Project	RFP for GAC Re-Roof project	
Weighting	Technical	
	ESA	Spartan Construction
Rater #1		
Technical Proposal	47	44
Price	50	47.72
Contractors Certificate	5	0
Subtotal	102.00	91.72
Rater #2		
Technical Proposal	40	27
Price	50	47.72
Contractors Certificate	5	0
Subtotal	95.00	74.72
Rater #3		
Technical Proposal	47	32
Price	50	47.72
Contractors Certificate	5	0
Subtotal	102.00	79.72
Rater #4		
Technical Proposal	47	36
Price	50	47.72
Contractors Certificate	5	0
Subtotal	102.00	83.72
Total for Tech Prop	181.00	139.00
Tot for Tech & Price	401.00	329.88
Interviews	N/A	N/A
Final Rank	1	2

	Price	50		Date	February 17, 2023
				RFP#	22-23-101
				Interview	50
				ESA	Spartan Construction
Price Info					
Low Price				\$1,698,000.00	\$1,698,000.00
Contractor's Price				\$1,698,000.00	\$1,779,000.00
Points				50.00	47.72

Points for price is figured by dividing the low price by the contractor's price and multiplying by 50. So the lowest price receives 50 pts and so on.

				ESA	Spartan Construction
Base Bid					
Total				\$1,698,000.00	\$1,779,000.00
GRT of 8.3125%				\$141,146.25	\$147,879.38
Total with GRT				\$1,839,146.25	\$1,926,879.38

Questions, concerns, or ideas

Thank you.

STHS Old Gym HVAC & Weight Room Re-Roof

DISTRICT	Gadsden Independent School District		Date	February 10, 2023
Project	RFP for STHS Aux Gym Mechanical Upgrades and Weigh Room Re-Roof P		RFP#	22-23-99
Weighting	Technical	50	Price	50
			Interview	50
Offerors	CD General Contractors		CD General Contractors	
Rater #1			Price Info	
Technical Proposal		43	Low Price	\$757,581.95
Price		50	Contractor's Price	\$757,581.95
Contractors Certificate		5		
Subtotal		98.00	Points	50.00
Rater #2				
Technical Proposal		44		
Price		50		
Contractors Certificate		5		
Subtotal		99.00		
Rater #3				
Technical Proposal		44		
Price		50		
Contractors Certificate		5		
Subtotal		99.00		
Rater #4				
Technical Proposal		45		
Price		50		
Contractors Certificate		5		
Subtotal		100.00		
Total for Tech Prop		176.00		
Tot for Tech & Price		396.00		
Interviews		N/A		
Final Rank		1		

Points for price is figured by dividing the low price by the contractor's price and multiplying by 50. So the lowest price receives 50 pts and so on.	
---	--

	CD General Contractors
Base Bid	\$685,581.95
Alternate #1	\$72,000.00
Total	\$757,581.95
GRT of 6.625%	\$50,189.80
Total with GRT	\$807,771.75

Questions, concerns, or ideas

Thank you.